

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,455	01/14/2005	Helmut Goldmann	26569U	8794	
20529 NATH & ASS	7590 04/22/200 OCIATES	8	EXAMINER		
112 South We	st Street	SCHILLINGER, ANN M			
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3774		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/521,455	GOLDMANN, HELMUT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANN SCHILLINGER	3774	

	ANN SCHILLINGER	3774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time.							
periods: a) \(\sum \) The period for reply expires \(\frac{5}{m} \) months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	idili die dilie period sectoral il 57	51 TC 4 1.57 (a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ated alaims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116	21 See attached Notice of Non Co.	mpliant Amandment /	DTOL 224)				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	ripliant Amendment (F TOL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	at canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii oabiiilaea iii a oeparate, t	intery med differenties	it carrocarrig tric				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 18.25.27.28.30 and 32-34.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.13(d)(1).							
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
NECOCEST FOR RECONSIDERATION THEN 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:	(
10 : NII B							
/Corrine M McDermott/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3738	/Ann Schillinger/						
oupervisory rateful Examiner, Art Offic 3730	Examiner Art Unit 3774						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Trogolo det al. reference may include more materials than the metallic silver, because the claim is being read as inclusive or open-ended, and does not exclude additional, unrecited materials than the metallic silver, because the claim is being read as inclusive or open-ended, and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements. In this case, Trogolo et al. names the essential elements, but other elements may be added and still form a construct within the scope of the claim. Further reparading the entitionition of the claimed invention, have been successful elements. These limitations are not stated claims. It is the claims that define the claims elements in disclaims, not specifications that are anticipated or unpatentable. Regarding the Pourrezai et al. reference, this case das as supplement to indicate how the decomposition rate may be manipulated. It has been held that when the general conditions of the claim are disclosed, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the prior art.